



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,877	12/11/2001		Matthew L. Albert	600-1-291 CON	4555
23565	7590	04/14/2004		EXAMINER	
KLAUBER 411 HACKE			NICKO	., GARY B	
HACKENSACK, NJ 07601				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1642	

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-,						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office And	10/014,877	ALBERT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	1642				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	20 January 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-27 and 31-48</u> in Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>28-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	s/are withdrawn from considera	tion.				
Applicati	on Papers						
10) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Example to his properties of the drawing (s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the confine oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed: 2. Certified copies of the priority documed: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment	(s)						
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI No(s)/Mail Date	B) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Re: Albert et al.

Date of priority: 2/20/1998

Claims 1-48 are pending.

Claims 1-27, 31-48 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner under 37

CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to non-elected inventions.

Claims 28-30 are pending and are currently under consideration.

The Election filed January 20, 2004 in response to the Office Action of December 17, 2003 is acknowledged and has been entered.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group VIII, claims 28-30 is further acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that that the groups designated by the Examiner fail to define compositions and methods, with properties so distinct as to warrant a separate examination and search. Applicants further assert that the search for any of the methods separately classified by the Examiner "as" the invention of Group VIII would require an additional search of the identical classes wherein the claims of Groups III, V, X and X1 are classified, thus resulting in a duplicate search for the same material. Thus, Applicants submit that the search and examination of the entire Application, or, at least, of Groups III, V, X and XI with Group VIII can be made without serious burden, and therefore the Examiner should examine all of the claims of the Application on the merits. These arguments have been carefully considered but are not found persuasive. MPEP 802.01 provides that restriction is proper between inventions that are

Art Unit: 1642

independent or distinct. Here, the inventions of the various groups are distinct for the reasons set forth in restriction requirement mailed August 5, 2003. Further, it appears that applicant's are attempting to define the Examiner's burden of search solely by classification of the restricted subject matter. As a first matter, it should be noted that Groups II, V, X and XI are not all classified the same as elected Group VIII. Secondly, as to the question of any burden of search, the classification of subject matter is merely one indication of the burdensome nature of the search involved. The literature search, particularly relevant in this art, is not coextensive and is much more important in evaluating the burden of search. Different searches and issues are involved in the examination of each group. For these reasons the restriction requirement is deemed to be proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

The specification is objected to for the following reason: The specification on page 1 should be amended to reflect the priority status of the present application, for example:

This application is a continuation of U.S. Application No. 09/251,896, filed 02/19/199, now US Patent No. 6,602,709.

Information Disclosure Statement

There does not appear to be an IDS filed in this particular application. Only, the previously signed IDS (signed by another Examiner) was present. Thus, no IDS was considered in this application.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,877

Art Unit: 1642

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by ENGLEMAN et al. (WO 94/02156, February 3, 1994).

Engleman *et al.* teach a method of assessing cytotoxic T lymphocyte activity comprising contacting (i.e. pulsing) antigen presenting dendritic cells (DC) with a variety of antigen donors including bacterial, parasitic, fungal, viral, and tumor antigens (page 20). The reference additionally teaches that the antigens may be purified, recombinant, or exist as whole organisms or cells in viable or dead forms.

The reference further teaches exposing the antigen presenting DCs to a population of T lymphocytes to be assayed for their ability to exhibit killer cell activity; and assaying the cytotoxic activity of the T lymphocytes exposed to the antigen presenting DCs (pages 21-25, 30-31).

Although the reference does not specifically teach contacting the dendritic cells with "apoptotic cells", Engleman *et al.* teach that pulsing DCs includes contact with *irradiated* cells (page 19, 1st para). Thus, since the specification teaches that irradiation is one method of inducing cells to undergo apoptosis (page 4, lines 30+), the teachings of Engleman *et al.* anticipate the claimed invention.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/014,877

Art Unit: 1642

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30-5:30; alternate Fri., 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1642

April 2, 2004

Your Bruiked

GARY NICKOL PRIMARY EXAMINER JASEMINE C. CHAMBERS
DIRECTOR

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600